# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# **OPEN ACCESS**

# A Variable Control Structure Controller for the Wing Rock Phenomenon

# Abdullatif Alshati<sup>(1)</sup>, Mohammed Alkandari<sup>(2)</sup>

Electrical Networks Department, High Institute of Energy, Public Authority of Applied Education and Training, KUWAIT

# ABSTRACT

This paper presents the design of a variable structure controller for the model of the wing rock phenomenon of a delta wing aircraft. It is considered to be a continue study of the last two researches for the same phenomena "Feedback linearization [15] and back stepping controller [14] ". A control technique is proposed to stabilize the aircraft phenomena. The solution presented in this paper give a guarantee of asymptotic convergence to zero of all variables of the system. MATLAB simulation used to show how the proposed control is working well for such phenomena of a delta wing aircraft. The model of the phenomena in this paper will consider the same model presented in the last two researches mentioned above.

Keywords: Wing Rock, Nonlinear Control of Wing Rock, Variable Structure Controller

### I. INTRODUCTION

Wing-rock motion is a self-induced, limit-cycle rolling motion experienced by flight aircrafts with small aspect-ratio wings, or with long pointed forebodies at high angles of attack [1]. This phenomenon has been studied by many researchers, (see for example [1],[3],[4]) because of its importance in the stability of an aircraft during high angle of attack maneuvers. It was also reported in [6] that the oscillation that does not have a limit cycle can happened at an 80/65 degree double delta wing.

Wing rock is a nonlinear phenomenon experienced by aircraft in which oscillations and unstable sideslip behavior are experienced [9]. This instability may diminish flight effectiveness or even present a serious danger due to potential instability of the aircraft [1]. Wing rock has been extensively studied experimentally, resulting in mathematical models that describe the nonlinear rolling motion using simple differential equations as in [7],[8].

The wing rock model for a delta wing aircraft used in [1] is considered in this project. Wing rock is usually modeled as self-induced, pure rolling motion, which causes the rolling moment to be a nonlinear function of the roll angle  $\phi$  and the roll-rate p. The coefficients of such nonlinear function are obtained by curve fitting with experimental data at specific values of angle of attack. In addition, yawing dynamic is added to the nonlinear function by considering the yawing rate r = -  $(\partial \beta / \partial t)$  and ignoring the nonlinear term involving  $\beta$  due to its small value compared with the other nonlinear terms.

# II. MODEL OF THE WING ROCK PHENOMENON

Define the following variables:

b:	Bank angle "roll angle"
)	=: Roll-rate (rad./s) ( $p = \partial \phi / \partial t$ ).
5 :	Aileron angle.
3:	Sideslip angle.
$\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t}$ :	Sideslip rate of change.

The differential equations of the system are obtained from experiments and data curve fitting, such that: The rolling moment is described by the following differential equation:

¢

ð

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \mu p + f(\phi, p) + L_{\beta}\beta + L_{\delta}\delta$$

where  $\mu$  is the sting damping coefficient,  $L_{\beta}$ ,  $L_{\delta}$  are parameters. The yawing moment is described by the following differential equation:

www.ijera.com

(2.1)

(2.3)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial t^2} = -N_{\beta}\beta + N_r (\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t}) - N_p p$$
(2.2)

where  $N_{\beta}$ ,  $N_r$ ,  $N_p$  are parameters.

The differential equation for the first order aileron actuator is taken to be:

$$\partial \delta / \partial t = (u - \delta) / \tau$$

where  $\tau$  is the actuator time, and u is the controller.

The nonlinear self-induced rolling function  $f(\phi, p)$  using five terms curve-fit [1] as follows:

$$f(\phi, p) = a_1 \phi + a_2 p + a_3 p^3 + a_4 \phi^2 p + a_5 \phi p^2$$
(2.4)

where coefficients  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5$  are dependent on the angle of attack, taken to be 0.2 radian.

If the state variables are denoted by:  $x = (\phi, p, \delta, \beta, \partial \beta / \partial t)^T$  then the state equations can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{1}(t) &= x_{2}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) &= -\omega^{2} x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1} x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2} x_{1}^{2}(t) x_{2}(t) + b_{1} x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2} x_{1}(t) x_{2}^{2}(t) \\ &+ L_{\delta} x_{3}(t) + L_{\beta} x_{4}(t) - L_{r} x_{5}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{3}(t) &= -k x_{3}(t) + k u \\ \dot{x}_{4}(t) &= x_{5}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{5}(t) &= -N_{p} x_{2}(t) - N_{\beta} x_{4}(t) - N_{r} x_{5}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5)$$

The parametric values for the aerodynamics are:

Table 1: parametric values		
$a_1$	-0.05686	
<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.03254	
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	0.07334	
<i>a</i> <sub>4</sub>	-0.3597	
<i>a</i> <sub>5</sub>	1.4681	
$\mu_1$	0.354* <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> -0.001	
$\mu_2$	0.354* <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	
$b_1$	0.354* <i>a</i> <sub>4</sub>	
$b_2$	0.354* a <sub>5</sub>	
$\omega^2$	0.354* <i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	
$L_{\delta}$	1	
$L_{\beta}$	-0.02822	
L <sub>r</sub>	0.1517	
k	1/0.0495	
$N_p$	-0.0629	
$N_{\beta}$	1.3214	
N <sub>r</sub>	-0.2491	

As an oscillating system, the dynamics of wing rock phenomenon with no control will be unstable and oscillating with limit cycle motion. The unstable behavior on the aircraft's wings appears with undesirable yawing motion in the flight, which might cause serious damage. To see such instable oscillating dynamics of the phenomenon, we can plot the states with no control (u = 0). Figure 1 – Figure 5 show the plots of  $\phi = \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t}$ 

 $\phi, p, \delta, \beta, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t}$  respectively.



**Figure 1**:  $\phi$  = roll angle (rad.)



Figure 2 p = roll-rate (rad./s)



Figure 3  $\delta$  = aileron angle (rad.)



**Figure 4**  $\beta$  sideslip angle (rad.)







Figure 6 Roll rate vs. Roll angle



Figure 7 sideslip Rate vs. Sideslip angle

## **Transformation Function T (x)**

The dynamic of the wing rock phenomenon is highly nonlinear. Therefore, a nonlinear transformation z = T(x) [2] will be used to transfer the dynamic model of the system into a form that will simplify the design of nonlinear control schemes.

The transformation z = T(x) is defined such that:

$$z_1 = N_{\rho} x_1 + N_{r} x_4 + x_5$$
$$z_2 = -N_{\beta} x_4$$
$$z_3 = -N_{\beta} x_5$$

$$z_4 = N_{\beta} (N_p x_2 + N_{\beta} x_4 + N_r x_5)$$

 $z_5 = N_{\beta}N_{\rho}(-\omega^2 x_1(t) + \mu_1 x_2(t) + \mu_2 x_1^2(t) x_2(t) + b_1 x_2^3(t) + b_2 x_1(t) x_2^2(t)$ 

 $+L_{\delta}x_{3}(t)+L_{\beta}x_{4}(t)-L_{r}x_{5}(t))+N_{\beta}^{2}x_{5}-N_{\beta}N_{r}(N_{\rho}x_{2}+N_{\beta}x_{4}+N_{r}x_{5})$ 

www.ijera.com

(2.6)

The inverse transformation  $x = T^{-1}(z)$  exists and it is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1} &= \frac{1}{N_{\rho}} (z_{1} + \frac{N_{r}}{N_{\beta}} z_{2} + \frac{1}{N_{\beta}} z_{3}) \\ x_{2} &= \frac{1}{N_{\beta} N_{\rho}} (z_{4} + N_{\beta} z_{2} + N_{r} z_{3}) \\ x_{3} &= \frac{1}{L_{\delta}} [z_{5} + (\omega^{2} N_{\beta} - \frac{b_{2}}{N_{\beta} N_{\rho}^{2}} (z_{4} + N_{\beta} z_{2} + N_{r} z_{3})^{2}) (z_{1} + \frac{N_{r}}{N_{\beta}} z_{2} + \frac{1}{N_{\beta}} z_{3})] \\ &- \frac{1}{L_{\delta}} [(\mu_{1} + \frac{\mu_{2}}{N_{\rho}^{2}} (z_{1} + \frac{N_{r}}{N_{\beta}} z_{2} + \frac{1}{N_{\beta}} z_{3})^{2} + \frac{b_{1}}{N_{\beta}^{2} N_{\rho}^{2}} (z_{4} + N_{\beta} z_{2} + N_{r} z_{3})^{2}) (z_{4} + N_{\beta} z_{2} + N_{r} z_{3})] \\ &+ \frac{1}{L_{\delta}} [N_{\rho} L_{\beta} z_{2} - N_{\rho} L_{r} z_{3} + N_{\rho} z_{3} + N_{r} z_{4}] \\ x_{4} &= -\frac{1}{N_{\beta}} z_{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7)$$

$$x_{5} &= -\frac{1}{N_{\beta}} z_{3}$$

# Hence, the dynamic model of the wing rock phenomenon can be written as, $\dot{z}_1 = z_2$

- $\dot{z}_2 = z_3$
- $\dot{z}_3 = z_4$
- $\dot{z}_4 = z_5$

$$\dot{z}_5 = q(x) + g(x)u$$

(2.8)

where:

$$q(x) = \left[N_{\beta}N_{p}(-\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)) + N_{\beta}^{2}x_{5} - N_{\beta}N_{r}(N_{p}x_{2} + N_{\beta}x_{4} + N_{r}x_{5})\right] - L_{\delta}k x_{3}(t)$$

$$g(x) = k N_{\beta} N_{p} L_{\delta}$$

www.ijera.com

**III. VARIABLE STRUCTURE CONTROLLER FOR THE WING ROCK PHENOMENON** Recall from the previous chapter that the wing rock phenomenon can be described using the following set of differential equations:

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{2}(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = -\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t)$$

$$+L_{\delta}x_{3}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_{3}(t) = -kx_{3}(t) + ku$$

$$\dot{x}_{4}(t) = x_{5}(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_{5}(t) = -N_{p}x_{2}(t) - N_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - N_{r}x_{5}(t)$$
(3.1)

Using the transformation defined in chapter 2, the above equations can be written as:

$$\dot{z}_1 = z_2$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = z_3$$

$$\dot{z}_3 = z_4$$

$$\dot{z}_4 = z_5$$

$$\dot{z}_5 = q(x) + g(x)u$$
(3.2)

With,  

$$q(x) = \left[N_{\beta}N_{p}(-\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)) + N_{\beta}^{2}x_{5} - N_{\beta}N_{r}(N_{p}x_{2} + N_{\beta}x_{4} + N_{r}x_{5})\right] - L_{\delta}k x_{3}(t)$$

$$g(x) = k N_{\beta} N_{p} L_{\delta}$$

Let the scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$  be chosen such that the polynomial  $P_2(s) = s^4 - \alpha_1 s^3 - \alpha_2 s^2 - \alpha_3 s - \alpha_4$  is a Hurwitz polynomial (i.e., the roots of  $P_2(s) = 0$  are located in the left half plane). Also, let W be a positive scalar.

Define the following sliding surface for the above system:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{z}_5 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \boldsymbol{z}_1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 \boldsymbol{z}_2 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_3 \boldsymbol{z}_3 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_4 \boldsymbol{z}_4 \tag{3.3}$$

To guarantee the reachability to the surface  $\sigma = 0$ , we impose the following dynamics on the sliding surface,  $\dot{\sigma} = -W \ sign(\sigma)$  (3.4)

It can be easily checked that the reacheability condition is satisfied by using the dynamics given in (5.4). Let the lyapunov function V be such that:

www.ijera.com

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \tag{3.5}$$

Taking the derivative of V with respect to time, it follows that:

$$\dot{V} = \sigma \dot{\sigma} = -W \sigma sign(\sigma) = -W \frac{\sigma^2}{|\sigma|} < 0.$$
(3.6)

On the sliding surface, the reduced order dynamics of the system is as follows:  $\dot{z}_1 = z_2$ 

$$\dot{z}_2 = z_3$$

 $\dot{z}_3 = z_4$ 

$$\dot{z}_4 = \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 + \alpha_3 z_3 + \alpha_4 z_4$$
(3.7)

Define the vector z such that  $Z_p = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix}^r$ . The closed loop system given by (5.7) can be written in compact form as:

$$\dot{z}_p = A_{zp} z_p \tag{3.8}$$

where the matrix  $A_{zp}$  is such that:

$$\therefore A_{zp} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution of the differential equation given by (5.8) is  $z_p(t) = \exp(A_{zp}t)z_p(0)$ . Since the matrix  $A_{zp}$  is a stable matrix, the vector  $z_p(t)$  will converge to zero asymptotically as  $t \to \infty$ . Hence  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  will converge to zero asymptotically as  $t \to \infty$ . Moreover, on the switching surface  $\sigma = 0$  which implies that  $z_5 - \alpha_1 z_1 - \alpha_2 z_2 - \alpha_3 z_3 - \alpha_4 z_4 = 0$ . Thus it can be concluded that  $z_5 = \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 + \alpha_3 z_3 + \alpha_4 z_4$  will converge to zero asymptotically as  $t \to \infty$ .

The dynamics in (5.4) can be written as:  $\dot{\sigma} = -W \ sign(\sigma)$ . or,  $\dot{z}_5 - \alpha_1 \dot{z}_1 - \alpha_2 \dot{z}_2 - \alpha_3 \dot{z}_3 - \alpha_4 \dot{z}_4 = -sign(\sigma) \Rightarrow$  $q(x) + g(x)u - \alpha_1 z_2 - \alpha_2 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_5 = -W sign(\sigma)$ (3.9)

Solving the above equation for u leads to :

$$u = \frac{1}{g(x)} [-q(x) + \alpha_1 z_2 + \alpha_2 z_3 + \alpha_3 z_4 + \alpha_4 z_5 - Wsign(\sigma)]$$
(3.10)

Therefore, the previous development allows us to state the following proposition:

The variable structure controller

www.ijera.com

$$u = \frac{1}{g(x)} [-q(x) + \alpha_1 z_2 + \alpha_2 z_3 + \alpha_3 z_4 + \alpha_4 z_5 - Wsign(\sigma)]$$
(3.11)

guarantees the asyptotic convergence of  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5$  to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

### Remark

The variable structure controller in (3.11) can be written in the original coordinates by using the transformation:  $z_1 = N_p x_1 + N_r x_4 + x_5$ 

$$z_{2} = -N_{\beta}x_{4}$$

$$z_{3} = -N_{\beta}x_{5}$$

$$(3.12)$$

$$z_{4} = N_{\beta}(N_{p}x_{2} + N_{\beta}x_{4} + N_{r}x_{5})$$

$$z_{5} = N_{\beta}N_{p}(-\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t)$$

$$+L_{\delta}x_{3}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)) + N_{\beta}^{2}x_{5} - N_{\beta}N_{r}(N_{p}x_{2} + N_{\beta}x_{4} + N_{r}x_{5})$$
And
$$q(x) = [N_{\beta}N_{p}(-\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)$$

$$q(x) = [N_{\beta}N_{p}(-\omega^{2}x_{1}(t) + \mu_{1}x_{2}(t) + \mu_{2}x_{1}^{2}(t)x_{2}(t) + b_{1}x_{2}^{3}(t) + b_{2}x_{1}(t)x_{2}^{2}(t) + L_{\beta}x_{4}(t) - L_{r}x_{5}(t)) + N_{\beta}^{2}x_{5} - N_{\beta}N_{r}(N_{p}x_{2} + N_{\beta}x_{4} + N_{r}x_{5})] - L_{\delta}k x_{3}(t)$$
(3.1)

 $g(x) = k N_{\beta} N_{p} L_{\delta}$ 3)

### 3.1 Simulation results

The poles of  $P_2(s) = 0$  are chosen to be -1, -2, -3, -4, then

$$P_{2}(s) = s^{4} - \alpha_{1}s^{3} - \alpha_{2}s^{2} - \alpha_{3}s^{1} - \alpha_{4} = (s+1)(s+2)(s+3)(s+4) \implies s^{4} - \alpha_{1}s^{3} - \alpha_{2}s^{2} - \alpha_{3}s^{1} - \alpha_{4} = s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{3} + 35s^{2} + 50s^{1} + 24 \implies s^{4} + 10s^{2} + 1$$

 $\alpha_1 = -10, \quad \alpha_2 = -35, \quad \alpha_3 = -50, \quad \alpha_4 = -24$ 

The simulations is done using MAT LAB and the results plotted for the states for initial values ={0.20000} and W=0.01. Figure 18 – Figure 22 show the plots of  $\phi$ , p,  $\delta$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t}$  respectively.







Figure 22 sideslip rate (rad/sec)

# **IV. CONCLUSION**

The results insure that the system considered for such phenomenon converge to zero asymptotically with suggested control solution. And the proposed variable structure controller stabilizes the system well.

# REFERENCE

#### papers

- Tewari, A. "Nonlinear optimal control of wing rock including yawing motion," paper No AIAA 2000-4251,proceedings of AIAA Guidance, Navigation and control conference, Denver, CO.
- [2]. DR. mohammed Zeirebi transformation function in Appendix[II].

- [3]. Monahemi, M.M., and Krstic, M., "Control of Wing Rock Motion Using Adaptive Feedback Linearization", J. of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol.19, No.4,1996, pp.905-912.
- [4]. Singh, S.N., Yim, W., and Wells, W.R., "Direct Adaptive and Neural Control of Wing Rock Motion of Slender Delta Wings", J. of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol.18, No.l, 1995, pp.25-30.
- [5]. Internet Exploring.
- [6]. Pelletier, A. and Nelson, R. C., ``Dynamic Behavior of An 80/65 Double-Delta Wing in Roll," AIAA 98-4353.

- [7]. Raul Ordonez and Kevin M., "Wing Rock Regulation with a time-Varying Angle of Attack," Proceedings of IEEE (ISIC 2000).
- [8]. Zenglian Liu, Chun-Yi Su, and Jaroslav Svoboda., ``A Novel Wing-Rock Control Approach Using Hysteresis Compensation " Proceedings of American Control Conference, Denver, Colorado June 4-6,2003.
- [9]. Santosh V. Joshi, A. G. Sreenatha, and J. Chandrasekhar ``Suppression of Wing Rock of Slender Delta Wings Using A Single Neuron Controller" IEEE Transaction on control system technology, Vol. 6 No. 5 September 1998
- [10]. Z. L. Liu, C. -Y. Su, and J. Svoboda "Control of Wing Rock Using Fuzzy PD Controller" IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems. 2003
- [11]. Chin-Teng Lin, Tsu-Tian Lee, Chun-Fei Hsu and Chih-Min Lin ``Hybrid Adaptive Fussy ontrol Wing Rock Motion System with *H* infinity Robust Performance" Department of Electrical and Control Engineering, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan, Republic of China.
- [12]. Chun-Fei Hsu and Chih-min Lin " Neural-Network-Based adaptive Control of Wing Rock Motion " Department of Electrical and Control Engineering, Yuan-Ze University, Chung-Li, Tao-Yuan, 320, Taiwan, Republic of China.
- [13]. M. D. Chen, C. C. Chien, C. Y. Cheng, M. C. Lai " On the Control of a Simplified Rocking Delta Wing Model " Department of Electrical Engineering, USC, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2563
- [14]. Mohammad Alhamdan and Mohammed Alkandari "Back stepping linearization controller of the Delta Wing Rock Phenomena" Electrical Network Department, HIE, Kuwait, Shuwaikh
- [15]. Mohammad Alkandari and Mohammed Alhamdan "Feedback Linearization Controller Of The Delta Wing Rock Phenomena" Electrical Network Department, HIE, Kuwait, Shuwaikh

### BOOKS

[16]. Numerical Solution Of Nonlinear State-Equations